

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,995	01/16/2004	Raymond P. Warrell JR.	CELLTH 3.0-003 CONT CONT	7923
530	7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
LERNER, I	DAVID, LITTENBERG,		PRYOR, ALTON	N NATHANIEL
	Z & MENTLIK AVENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			1616	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/758,995	WARRELL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alton N. Pryor	1616				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address	-			
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing If the period for reply specified above is If NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extend	S COMMUNICATION. Ider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Idea of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply e, the maximum statutory period v ed period for reply will, by statute, nan three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.			
Status							
1) Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(5) Claim(s) is/are a 6) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rej 7) Claim(s) is/are c	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is obje	ected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not reques							
Replacement drawing she 11)☐ The oath or declaration	• •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 [.] ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of3. Copies of the certification from	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents tified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Appl ity documents have been rec	ication No beived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8		4) 🔲 Interview Sum					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Oracle Statement(state Paper No(s)/Mail Date			ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/758,995

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang (US 6720011; 4/13/04) or Yang et al (CN 1061908; 6/17/92) or Chen et al (Blood, 1996, 88 (3), pp. 105261). Zhang, Yang or Chen teaches a method treating leukemia comprising administering arsenic trioxide to a patient. See Zhang's claims, and Yang's and Chen's abstract. The prior art does not teach the instant amounts of arsenic trioxide and the instant number of dosages of arsenic trioxide. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum amount of arsenic trioxide and the optimum number of doses of arsenic trioxide. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop a method that would have been most effective in treating leukemia. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to put arsenic trioxide in some sought of package (kit) for storage purposes.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/758,995

Art Unit: 1616

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10758993; claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10759657; claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10759439; claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10759308; claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10759314; claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10759726; claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10759293; claims 1-3 of copending Application No. 10759882; claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10758800. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications teach treatment of leukemia comprising the administration of arsenic trioxide to a patient. The dosage amounts and frequencies differ. However one having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to determine the optimum dosage amounts and frequencies. One would have been motivated to do this in order to determine the best method for treating leukemia. The prior art does not teach the arsenic trioxide being packaged in a kit. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to put arsenic trioxide in some sought of package (kit) for storage purposes.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1616

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

AU 1616